## NATIONAL HIGHWAY SYSTEM/Mandate on Minors' Blood Alcohol Limit

SUBJECT: National Highway System Designation Act of 1995 . . . S. 440. Byrd amendment No. 1446.

## **ACTION: AMENDMENT AGREED TO, 64-36**

**SYNOPSIS:** As reported, S. 440, the National Highway System Designation Act of 1995, will designate the National Highway System in accordance with the Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 (ISTEA). Failure to enact the bill by September 30, 1995, will result in all States losing their National Highway System and Interstate Maintenance funding.

The Byrd amendment would withhold 5 percent of a State's Federal highway construction funds in fiscal year (FY) 1999 and 10 percent thereafter if it did not have in effect a law by that year establishing that any individual under the age of 21 who had a blood alcohol concentration of .02 percent or greater when operating a motor vehicle would be considered to be driving while intoxicated or under the influence of alcohol.

## Those favoring the amendment contended:

The Byrd amendment builds upon the 1984 successful Federal initiative to pressure States into enacting 21-year-old minimum drinking age laws. That initiative withheld 5 percent of Federal highway funds from any States without such a law in effect by the end of FY 1985, and 10 percent for each year thereafter. Before that initiative, only 18 States had a 21-year-old minimum drinking age. Now every State has that minimum. The National Highway Administration has estimated that since 1984 8,400 lives and \$1.8 billion have been saved by this initiative.

As successful as this program has been, it has a glaring loophole. Though no one under 21 may drink in any State, the blood alcohol content limits are the same for them as they are for people who may legally drink. Thus, a minor in a State with a blood alcohol limit of .1 will not receive a drunk driving citation if he or she has a blood alcohol level of .09. This fact makes it clear to minors that they are still able to get away with drinking some amount of alcohol and then driving. They should not be able to do so. Teenagers are inexperienced both with drinking and driving. Combining the two is more deadly than it is for an older person--of the

(See other side)

<b>YEAS</b> (64)			NAYS (36)			NOT VOTING (0)	
Republicans	Democrats (42 or 91%)		Republicans (32 or 59%)		Democrats (4 or 9%)	Republicans	Democrats (0)
(22 or 41%)						(0)	
Abraham Chafee Cochran Cohen D'Amato DeWine Domenici Gorton Gramm Hatch Hatfield Helms Hutchison Kassebaum McCain McConnell Murkowski Simpson Specter Stevens Thurmond Warner	Akaka Baucus Biden Bingaman Boxer Bradley Breaux Bryan Bumpers Byrd Conrad Daschle Dodd Dorgan Exon Feinstein Ford Glenn Harkin Heflin Hollings	Inouye Johnston Kennedy Kerrey Kerry Kohl Lautenberg Levin Lieberman Mikulski Moseley-Braun Moynihan Murray Pell Pryor Reid Robb Rockefeller Sarbanes Simon Wellstone	Ashcroft Bennett Bond Brown Burns Campbell Coats Coverdell Craig Dole Faircloth Frist Grams Grassley Gregg Inhofe	Jeffords Kempthorne Kyl Lott Lugar Mack Nickles Packwood Pressler Roth Santorum Shelby Smith Snowe Thomas Thompson	Feingold Graham Leahy Nunn	EXPLANAT 1—Official 2—Necessan 3—Illness 4—Other  SYMBOLS: AY—Annot AN—Annot PY—Paired PN—Paired	nily Absent  Inced Yea Inced Nay Yea

VOTE NO. 277 JUNE 21, 1995

fatal crashes involving teenagers, 40 percent are alcohol-related, and in one-third of those alcohol-related crashes the driver has a blood alcohol content of less than .09 percent. The Byrd amendment would establish a "zero tolerance" standard of .02 percent. No State currently has such a standard, but every State should. By setting a limit of .02, any minor who drank even a tiny amount of alcohol would be considered legally drunk. This standard will save lives. We urge Senators, therefore, to vote in favor of the Byrd amendment.

No arguments were expressed in opposition to the amendment.